Deciphering mollusc shell production: the roles of genetic mechanisms through to ecology, aquaculture and biomimetics
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ABSTRACT

Most molluscs possess shells, constructed from a vast array of microstructures and architectures. The fully formed shell is composed of calcite or aragonite. These CaCO₃ crystals form complex bicomposites with proteins, which although typically less than 5% of total shell mass, play significant roles in determining shell microstructure. Despite much research effort, large knowledge gaps remain in how molluscs construct and maintain their shells, and how they produce such a great diversity of forms. Here we synthesize results on how shell shape, microstructure, composition and organic content vary among, and within, species in response to numerous biotic and abiotic factors. At the local level, temperature, food supply and predation cues significantly affect shell morphology, whilst salinity has a much stronger influence across latitudes. Moreover, we emphasize how advances in genomic technologies [e.g. restriction site-associated DNA sequencing...
(RAD-Seq) and epigenetics] allow detailed examinations of whether morphological changes result from phenotypic plasticity or genetic adaptation, or a combination of these. RAD-Seq has already identified single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with temperature and aquaculture practices, whilst epigenetic processes have been shown significantly to modify shell construction to local conditions in, for example, Antarctica and New Zealand. We also synthesize results on the costs of shell construction and explore how these affect energetic trade-offs in animal metabolism. The cellular costs are still debated, with CaCO₃ precipitation estimates ranging from 1–2 J/mg to 17–55 J/mg depending on experimental and environmental conditions. However, organic components are more expensive (~29 J/mg) and recent data indicate transmembrane calcium ion transporters can involve considerable costs. This review emphasizes the role that molecular analyses have played in demonstrating multiple evolutionary origins of biomineralization genes. Although these are characterized by lineage-specific proteins and unique combinations of co-opted genes, a small set of protein domains have been identified as a conserved biomineralization tool box. We further highlight the use of sequence data sets in providing candidate genes for in situ localization and protein function studies. The former has elucidated gene expression modularity in mantle tissue, improving understanding of the diversity of shell morphology synthesis. RNA interference (RNAi) and clustered regularly interspersed short palindromic repeats - CRISPR-associated protein 9 (CRISPR-Cas9) experiments have provided proof of concept for use in the functional investigation of mollusc gene sequences, showing for example that Pif (aragonite-binding) protein plays a significant role in structured nacre crystal growth and that the Ldah gene sets shell chirality in Lymnaea stagnalis. Much research has focused on the impacts of ocean acidification on molluscs. Initial studies were predominantly pessimistic for future molluscan biodiversity. However, more sophisticated experiments incorporating selective breeding and multiple generations are identifying subtle effects and that variability within mollusc genomes has potential for adaptation to future conditions. Furthermore, we highlight recent historical studies based on museum collections that demonstrate a greater resilience of molluscs to climate change compared with experimental data. The future of mollusc research lies not solely with ecological investigations into biodiversity, and this review synthesizes knowledge across disciplines to understand biomineralization. It spans research ranging from evolution and development, through predictions of biodiversity prospects and future-proofing of aquaculture to identifying new biomimetic opportunities and societal benefits from recycling shell products.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Molluscs are amongst the most successful phyla with 70000–76000 currently described species and calculated estimates of up to 200000 species (Rosenberg, 2014). A major part of the success of the Mollusca is often claimed to be their biomineralized shells, although some groups, including most cephalopods (e.g. squid and octopus) and some gastropods (e.g. nudibranchs) have secondarily lost an external shell. Their biomineralized shells have also produced a long and rich evolutionary history in the fossil record. Yet, the heavily calcified shells in bivalves and gastropods are now seen as a potential vulnerability under future environmental change, which has fuelled research into molluscan biomineralization.

In recent years, the main focus on the consequences for molluscs under changing conditions has been on ocean acidification. The continuing reduction in seawater pH and associated changes in carbonate chemistry mean that skeletons of marine species are more energetically expensive to produce with consequences for the ability of molluscs to construct and maintain their calcium carbonate skeletons (Wittmann & Portner, 2013). However, numerous studies demonstrate that temperature, salinity and hypoxia can have greater impacts than acidification on animal energetics: altering metabolic rates, increasing nutritional requirements and affecting the food supply itself (e.g. Dickinson et al., 2012; Clark et al., 2013; Hiebenthal et al., 2013; Telesca et al., 2018, 2019). The majority of these observations are based on laboratory experiments, examining the effects of one or two (at most) stressors on molluscs. In reality, the marine environment is far more complex with multiple interacting biotic and abiotic drivers that can significantly impact animal homeostasis. It is difficult, if not impossible, to incorporate the wide range of environmental heterogeneity, natural fluctuations and dispersal opportunities over different spatial scales into laboratory experiments (Sanford & Kelly, 2011; Urban et al., 2016).

Thus, it is perhaps not surprising that as experimental protocols become more complicated, using, for example, long experimental timescales and multiple generations, significant resilience is being found within populations to altered environmental conditions, much more than was predicted 10 years ago (Clark, 2020). Whilst such experimental approaches have limitations for predicting future biodiversity patterns at assemblage and ecosystem levels, they have two major advantages. Namely, they can indicate differences in resilience among species and aid in uncovering the mechanisms underpinning molluscan responses to changing environments. The latter may include evaluations of cellular energetics, transport of calcium and the genes and proteins involved in shell production, thus contributing to fundamental knowledge on how molluscs build and maintain their shells.

It should be also emphasized that whilst ecological studies evaluating molluscs’ resilience to climate change have taken centre stage over recent years, there are many other areas of research where a greater understanding of biomineralization is important. These include evolution of species and particular proteins, global carbon cycling and more applied areas including optimization of aquaculture practices, development of novel bio-inspired materials (biomimicry) and reuse of shells for societal sustainability (Clark, 2020). Irrespective of the research field, what the recent ecological and molecular-related studies highlight is that a full mechanistic understanding of shell production, even in a single species is an enormous task. Large knowledge gaps still exist in the fundamental understanding of how molluscs construct and maintain their shells, and indeed in how they produce so many diverse forms.

The aim of this review is to take a multidisciplinary approach synthesizing current knowledge on how molluscs produce shells. Starting with shell structures, it encompasses mineralogy, physiology, ecology and molecular biology as all these underpin successful mollusc shell production. Discussions centre on state-of-the-art knowledge of the molluscan genetic landscape (genes, proteins, population genetics and epigenetics) and methodologies to increase the understanding of gene functions associated with biomineralization. Examples concentrate on model species for which most knowledge exists, such as the commercially important oysters *Crassostrea* spp., pearl oysters *Pinctada* spp. and blue mussels *Mytilus* spp., with examples drawn from other bivalves and gastropods as appropriate. The final section briefly reviews socio-economic aspects with regard to future prospects for molluscs in adapting to environmental change, their sustainable exploitation for aquaculture, and provision of novel products for society, including biomimetic applications and how waste shell material contributes to the circular economy.

II. SHELL MORPHOLOGY; GENETIC ADAPTATION AND PHENOTYPIC PLASTICITY

(1) Shell structure

Molluscan shells comprise layers of hierarchically arranged biocomposite materials in which a stiff mineralized phase is embedded in a softer organic matrix. Although there is great diversity in shell microstructure and architecture, the basic shell production process is shared among shelled molluscs wherein a fleshy mantle secretes the calcareous shell onto a proteinaceous sheet, the periostracum. Most shell production
research has been on bivalves, so the following account uses this group to illustrate the processes involved.

The two laterally disposed bivalve shells enclose the viscera, and in the post-larval stages are secreted by the mantle lobes, which are thin layers of tissue underlying both valves (Fig. 1). The distal edge of each mantle lobe is divided into folds, typically three in most bivalves, although there are exceptions where the outer fold is duplicated (e.g. Waller, 1980; Harper & Morton, 1994) [the arrangement is similar in the shelled cephalopod Nautilus but for most gastropods the typical arrangement is only two marginal folds – see Stasek & McWilliams (1973)]. While the innermost and middle folds are chiefly concerned with water inflow and sensory functions, respectively, the outermost fold secretes the shell (Yonge, 1957, 1982; Ponder & Lindberg, 2020). The first shell material formed is the periostracum, a thin (from submicrons to tens of microns thick) layer of quinone-tanned proteins, mucopolysaccharides, and lipids, secreted by specialized cells in the periostral groove which lies between the outermost and middle mantle folds (Saleuddin & Petit, 1983; Harper, 1997). The forming sheet, secreted in the periostral groove, extends and thickens by secretion from the inner surface of the outer mantle lobe, then matures by tanning once the thickening is complete (Saleuddin & Petit, 1983) (Fig. 1). Ultimately, the periostral sheet reflects dorsally, forming the shell edge. The periostracum’s primary function is to define and enclose the space in which the shell is secreted. In taxa with a thin periostracum, it often decays or abrades and may not persist over older parts of the shell. However, in taxa with a thicker periostracum it may remain on outer shell surfaces, where it plays important secondary roles including protection from corrosion (Tevesz & Carter, 1980). Although some bivalves initially mineralize within the periostracum layer (Checa & Harper, 2010), calcareous shell is predominantly laid down onto the periostracum by the epithelium of the outer mantle surface. Typically, figures in scientific articles about shell formation show an apparently capacious void, the extrapallial space, between the outer mantle surface and the most recently secreted shell (e.g. Harper, 1997; Checa, 2000). However, the actual gap is very narrow, around only 100 nm in the bivalve Neotrigonia spp. (Checa et al., 2014), housing a thin film of extrapallial fluid (Fig. 1).

Molluscs display an extraordinary diversity of shell microstructure, each shell comprising two or more layers with different microstructural (and sometimes mineralogical) arrangements (Fig. 2). The precise microstructural detail has considerable phylogenetic and functional significance (Taylor, Kennedy, & Hall, 1969, 1973). The mineralized component of any particular microstructural layer in fully formed shell is always either calcite or aragonite [although the unstable polymorph vaterite may occur pathologically in patches or repairs, e.g. Nehrke et al. (2012) and patches of calcite have been identified in the aragonitic shell layers of some individuals of chamid bivalves (Harper, 1996)]. There is also good palaeontological and phylogenetic evidence that aragonite is the ancestral molluscan state (Taylor, 1973; Vendrasco, Checa, & Kouchinsky, 2011; Wood & Zhuravlev, 2012). Three-dimensional arrangements of mineral units vary widely, and also occur over a wide range of scales (Fig. 2). These units may be multiple crystals (Taylor, 1973), with each crystal composed of nano-units or particles as revealed by atomic force microscopy (Dauphin, 2001). The mineralized units of calcite and aragonite microstructures fall into two broad categories: fibres (including prisms, gastropod nacre and granules of various types) and sheets (including bivalve and cephalopod nacre, foliae and crossed-lamellar structures) (Checa & Salas, 2017). Despite the apparent diversity of shell microstructures, they appear to share common controls of patterns.
of nucleation and growth. Surprisingly, many of the microstructures have evolved independently across or within different molluscan classes. For example, nacre has been separately acquired in bivalves, gastropods and cephalopods, and there are clear differences in the crystallographic detail among groups (Vendrasco et al., 2011). Shell organic matrix is composed largely of proteins, acidic polysaccharides and chitin (Weiner & Dove, 2003). It is usually only a relatively small percentage of the shell (<5%, although it is 16% of the dry mass in certain unusual microstructures in Fan shells, Pinna spp.), but it appears to have extremely important roles in pre-determining the form of many shell microstructures. For example, in shell microstructures where there is a clear organic matrix the constituent crystalline units show strict morphological control and orientation whereas in those where there is little or no organic material, the growth of crystals is more reminiscent of simple inorganic spherulitic growths (Checa et al., 2016), as discussed in Sections V and VI. Thus, shells are complex biocomposite materials, usually with their structure and composition under tight taxon-specific control, but which are further modified by habitat conditions.

(2) Shell phenotypic variability

Mollusc shell structure can be considerably influenced by a variety of abiotic and biotic factors. The intertidal zone can be particularly challenging, with the rigours of periodic emersion, desiccation and increased temperatures due to solar radiation significantly affecting shell morphologies, but also animal distributions and metabolic rates (Davies, 1966, 1969). Intertidal limpets generally exhibit taller, more ridged shells (providing more surface area for convective heat loss) compared with sub-tidal conspecifics (Harley et al., 2009). Wave exposure also affects shell morphology: the nodulose form of the intertidal periwinkle Tectarius striatus (previously Littorina striata) inhabits sheltered areas, whilst the smooth form is common in wave-exposed areas (De Wolf, Backeljau, & Verhagen, 1998). Different shell morphologies have likewise been described in a related intertidal periwinkle Littorina saxatilis (Johannesson, 1986) and the flat tree oyster Isognomon alatus (Wilk & Bieler, 2009). In Antarctica, ice is an added environmental stress. In response to frequent brash ice impact, intertidal limpets Nacella concinna produce thicker, taller shells and the infaunal clam Lateralina elliptica produces more robust shells in regions with high iceberg scour compared to protected sites (Hoffman et al., 2010; Harper et al., 2012).

Thicker shells are sometimes produced in response to predation threat, often sensed via chemical cues. The classic examples include intertidal snails and mussels that thicken shells in habitats where predatory crabs are present (e.g. Appleton & Palmer, 1988; Trussell & Smith, 2000; Trussell & Nicklin, 2002; Freeman & Byers, 2006). Chemical cues inducing thickening can be either from the predator and/or from damaged conspecifics (Appleton &
Palmer, 1988; Freeman, Meszaros, & Byers, 2009). The invasive mussel Xenostrobus secaris produces thicker shells, stronger byssal attachments and heavier adductor muscles when drilling predators are present (Babarro, Vazquez, & Olabarria, 2016). Similarly, the blue mussel Mytilus edulis produces smaller, thicker shells with significantly larger adductor muscles in the presence of the predatory starfish Asterias rubens (Freeman & Byers, 2006). Interestingly, when exposed singularly to crabs (crushing predators) or to starfish (which prise apart the shell) M. edulis develops either a thicker shell or larger adductor muscles, respectively. When both cues are introduced at the same time, M. edulis did not express either predator-specific response, indicating that such responses are poorly integrated (Freeman et al., 2009).

The altered shell morphologies produced in response to environmental factors are not necessarily uniform across all the component microstructures. There may be selective deposition of different shell layers according to the stimulus. For example, shell thickening in the periwinkle Littorina obtusata in response to predation was due to a 91% increase in the irregular prismatic calcite layer in new shell (Brookes & Rochette, 2007). In Pecten maximus scanning electron microscopy and atomic force microscopy revealed that weaker shells produced in suspended aquaculture systems compared with wild-caught animals were due to the development of modifications and abnormalities in different microstructure components over time (Grefsrud et al., 2008). In fact, detailed monitoring found that changes in water chemistry, food and nutrient supply operating at very small spatial scales allowed microenvironments to be detected along single mussel ropes that impacted shell production (Michalek, 2019). At larger spatial scales Mytilus species studied across a 30° latitudinal range (3900 km spanning from the North Atlantic to the Arctic) identified temperature and food supply as the main drivers of mussel shape heterogeneity (Telesca et al., 2018, 2019). Salinity, however, had the strongest effect on latitudinal patterns of shell shape. Salinity was also the major driver of shell deposition, organic content and microstructures (Fig. 3A, B). Mussels in low-salinity environments had thin shells and higher proportions of prismatic calcite (compared to aragonite) and organic matrix and a thicker periostracum. Mussels in high-salinity environments produced thicker shells with an increased proportion of the aragonitic nacreous layer, potentially providing enhanced mechanical protection against predators (Telesca et al., 2019) (Fig. 3A, C, D). In addition, there was a strong interaction between decreasing salinity and increasing food supply, resulting in thicker periostracum, as a potential protection mechanism against the corrosive effects of low salinity (Telesca et al., 2019) (Fig. 3D). Thus, many environmental factors significantly affect molluscan shell morphologies. These variant shell morphologies may be underpinned by genetic mechanisms.

(3) Phenotypic plasticity, adaptation and epigenetics

Variation in shell characteristics can often be attributed to multiple environmental factors (as detailed above). However, the relative contribution of each factor to altered shell morphology and the underlying genetic mechanisms is often unknown (e.g. Johannesson, 1986; Solas et al., 2015). Two main genetic mechanisms may bring about this variation. The first is genetic determination of shell characteristics, with selection acting on standing genetic variation within populations and driving selection for characteristics more suited to the local conditions. The second is phenotypic plasticity, where a given genotype can produce different phenotypes in different environments. These mechanisms are not mutually exclusive and many studies have shown them acting in concert. Furthermore, epigenetic effects may fix favourable traits, at least temporarily, across generations. Potential genetic mechanisms have been investigated using several approaches, including controlled breeding, reciprocal transplant and common garden experiments.

Genetic crosses between either half-sib pairs or different ecotypes have demonstrated significant genetic underpinning of shell characteristics in, for example Littorina spp., Bembecium vitatum and Nucella lapillus (Boulding & Hay, 1993; Parsons, 1997; Guerra-Varela et al., 2009), with the Littorina experiments also indicating significant genotype–environment interactions (Boulding & Hay, 1993). Adaptation of shell characteristics to altered conditions may be rapid. For example, M. edulis occur all along the North American New England coastline. In northern New England, M. edulis had been exposed to predation by the common crab Carcinus maenas for >50 years, but had never encountered the invasive predatory crab Hemigrapsus sanguineus. By contrast, southern New England M. edulis had been exposed to C. maenas for over 100 years and H. sanguineus for around 13 years (Freeman & Byers, 2006). When southern and northern New England M. edulis populations were experimentally exposed to H. sanguineus, shell thickening was weaker in northern populations that never been exposed to H. sanguineus. This difference was attributed to rapid adaptation (over 15 years) of the southern populations (Freeman & Byers, 2006). In recent laboratory experiments, adaptation of M. galloprovincialis to low pH was associated with changes in allele frequency (Bitter et al., 2019). It was suggested that polygenic characters governed evolution to low pH and genotype–environment interactions released ‘cryptic’ genetic variation of fitness-related traits. Furthermore, three M. edulis generations grown in CO2-enriched conditions revealed heritable components of calcification performance in early development (Thomsen et al., 2017) and rapid adaptation in shell thickness to this corrosive environment occurred in M. chilensis (Guíñez et al., 2017).

Reciprocal transplant experiments have been performed to test the prediction that shell shape and thickness are plastic traits, with transplanted animals adjusting their morphologies to those of native animals. Whilst experiments analysing shell shape characteristics in Lymnaea stagnalis indicated strong environmental control (Arthur, 1982), similar transplant experiments revealed both genetic control and phenotypic plasticity in the intertidal gastropods Nodilittorina australis, Nucella lapillus and Acanthina monodon (Yeap, Black, &...
Johnson, 2001; Pascoal et al., 2012; Solas, Sepulveda, & Brante, 2013). With common garden experiments, animals from different environments are cultivated in common conditions and expected to become more similar and lose the characteristics of their original environments (e.g. Pascoal et al., 2012; Clark et al., 2018). Again, a mix of responses occurred with these experiments in different species. Shell characteristics were genetically determined in *Littorina saxatilis* (Johannesson & Johannesson, 1996), but more likely resulted from phenotypic plasticity in the freshwater snail *Semisulcospira reiniana* (Urabe, 1998). Interestingly in transgenerational reciprocal transplant and common garden

**Fig 3.** Influence of water salinity on *Mytilus* spp. shell shape, deposition and microstructure. (A) Top panel: shell shape variation of lateral and ventral shell views with salinity indicating formation of more elongated, narrower shells and more parallel dorsoventral margins with decreasing salinities. Middle panel: positive exponential increase of shell deposition (total thickness) with increasing salinity. Bottom panel: relationships between the thickness of prismatic (solid line) and nacreous (dashed line) layers, and salinity indicating a decreased proportion of prismatic calcite with increasing salinity and the deposition of relatively thicker aragonitic nacre at salinities >27.67 psu. Mean values (lines) and confidence intervals (shaded areas) are predicted while controlling for shell size (47.42 mm). (B) Deformation grids for both lateral and ventral *Mytilus* shell depicting the outline regions subject to different degrees of change and the bindings required to pass from the average shape under low and high salinity regimes. (C) Latitudinal variation of shell organic content within prismatic layers among shells from temperate [Brest, France (FR) and St. Andrews, United Kingdom (UK); open bars] and polar [Tromsø, Norway (NO) and Upernavik, Greenland (GR); solid bars] regions. Pairwise contrasts indicate significantly (***) higher proportions of organics (+29%) in high-latitude than low-latitude specimens with non-significant (NS) variation within climatic regions. Error bars indicate 95% CI. (D) Interacting effects of salinity and shell length on *Mytilus* periostracum. Periostracum thickness is modelled as a function of shell length for low (18.92 psu; solid line), average (25.52 psu; dashed line) and high (33.13 psu; dotted line) salinities. Predicted values (lines) and confidence intervals (shaded areas) indicate higher rates of exponential periostracal thickening with decreasing salinity. Redrawn from Telesca et al. (2018, 2019).
experiments in *Nucella lapillus*, shell shape converged, suggesting synergy between maternal effects, genetic and plastic responses (Pascoal et al., 2012). Shell shape also converged in freshwater snails in a multiple-generation experiment; however, there was a lag in convergence suggesting epigenetic effects (Gustafson et al., 2014). This last experiment highlights one issue of using single-generation experiments. Complete roles of genetic factors involved in shell morphology may be masked by epigenetic effects, which can take several generations to diminish or change. Epigenetics can significantly modify responses, and the extent of epigenetic regulation in invertebrates is seriously underestimated (Suarez-Ulloa, Gonzalez-Romero, & Eirin-Lopez, 2015). An early epigenetic study in molluscs using methylation-sensitive polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and bisulphite sequencing revealed extensive CpG methylation in *Crassostrea gigas* (Gavery & Roberts, 2010). To date, epigenetic studies in molluscs have predominantly involved methylation analyses, often studying developmental effects (Fallet et al., 2020), although other mechanisms include remodelling of chromatin structure through chemical changes to histone proteins and regulation by small RNA molecules. Thus, epigenetic effects may result from a mix of mechanisms in natural environments (Suarez-Ulloa et al., 2015). Epigenetic data on shell production in molluscs are limited. In the Antarctic limpet *Nacella concinna*, intertidal and subtidal individuals have significantly different shell masses, yet exhibit no significant population genetic differentiation (Hoffman et al., 2010). Transplant and common garden experiments alongside measurements of methylation sensitive amplification polymorphisms (MSAPs) or methylation-sensitive amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLPs) revealed that these cohorts (intertidal and subtidal) had significantly different methylation patterns. However, these disappeared after 9 months in a common garden experiment, suggesting that at least some of their environmental acclimation was associated with reversible epigenetic effects through DNA methylation (Clark et al., 2018). Similarly, methylation patterns correlated with adaptation to local habitats in the New Zealand mud snail (Thorsen et al., 2017).

The multiple factors involved in producing different shell characteristics and the contribution of each will almost certainly be species- and population-specific. Many experiments, whilst useful for demonstrating trait heritability, did not necessarily identify the genes responsible for morphological variation. Studies of morphological variation should consider the organism’s genetic background along with gene flow between populations, as these analyses help to determine whether responses are mediated by phenotypic plasticity or adaptation (Michalek, 2019).

### (4) Genetic background and gene flow among populations

For many years, marine environments were thought to be demographically open, especially for broadcast-spawning species with planktonic larvae (Hellberg, 2009). The genetic background and gene flow between morphologically conspecific mollusc populations has been evaluated using a variety of methods, including allozymes, random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), AFLPs and microsatellites (De Wolf et al., 1999; Hoffman et al., 2010; Zieritz et al., 2010; Pascoal et al., 2012). These studies demonstrated that heterogeneity in shell shape and thickness in Antarctic limpets and freshwater mussels persist while no population differentiation could be demonstrated in neutral markers, suggesting that these characteristics may have a phenotypic plasticity component (Hoffman et al., 2010; Zieritz et al., 2010). The current low-cost sequencing techniques, such as restriction site-associated DNA sequencing (RAD-seq), which allow fine-scale population structure analysis, deliver resolutions not previously possible. As a result, significant population structure is being demonstrated, even in broadcast-spawning molluscs (Van Wyngaarden et al., 2018; Bernatchez et al., 2019; Vendrami et al., 2019a, 2019b). Understanding population structure is essential for studying traits that likely result from phenotypic plasticity, local adaptation or mixtures of both.

This approach was exemplified in a recent shell morphology study in the great scallop *Pecten maximus* along the Northern Irish coast (Vendrami et al., 2017). Nine populations were genotyped using microsatellite markers and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (Vendrami et al., 2017). Microsatellites revealed little genetic differentiation among populations, but using just five animals per site with RAD-Seq, Mulroy Bay (which has long been used for commercial farming of scallops) was separated from the eight other sites which contain wild unfarmed populations (Fig. 4A, B). Significant shell shape and colour differences were evident in the eight genetically undifferentiated populations, suggesting these traits have plastic components (Vendrami et al., 2017) (Fig. 4C). However, in spite of these detailed SNP analyses, this study could not discount local adaptation or that shell shape and pigmentation were controlled by one or a small number of loci.

Furthermore, whilst highly sensitive at identifying population structure, RAD-Seq is limited with regards to identifying mechanisms underpinning traits because it analyses anonymous markers (short stretches of typically unannotated DNA). Although SNPs associated with environmental variables can be identified, for example temperature (Van Wyngaarden et al., 2018; Vendrami et al., 2019b), it is usually not possible to determine the causal underlying polymorphisms, limiting our understanding of mechanisms and functions (Van Wyngaarden et al., 2018). Mapping SNPs produced by RAD-Seq onto reference genomes may enable the identification of genomic regions potentially under selection (Bernatchez et al., 2019). However, for the vast majority of molluscs, reference genomes are not available. In this respect, the real advantage of RAD-Seq is in allowing fine-scale genome-wide mapping of genetic backgrounds and gene flow among populations, which can significantly impact experimental design when studying divergent shell shapes in con specifics or closely related congeners.
III. THE COST OF MAKING A SHELL AND ENERGETIC TRADE-OFFS

(1) Costs

Shells comprise a major proportion of marine molluscan biomass. Typically >95% of a shell comprises calcium carbonate crystals and the energetic costs during construction derive from the metabolic costs of accumulating, transporting and precipitating these crystals. Separating these costs from routine metabolism is non-trivial due to the overlapping contributions of physiological processes in metabolic maintenance, soft tissue growth and calcification (Palmer, 1992). In the first experiments to quantify these costs, Palmer (1983, 1992) measured how much extra shell material was produced by groups of marine snails under particular sets of environmental conditions and the associated amount of food (energy) ingested in those conditions. The costs of calcification for *Nucella lamellosa* and *N. lapillus* were estimated by calculating the extra energy assimilated per unit of extra shell produced at a common rate of tissue growth after extracting the cost of the organic matrix. Using this approach Palmer (1992) estimated that producing 1 mg of calcium carbonate cost 1–2 J/mg with the equivalent mass of proteinaceous organic matrix costing 29 J/mg. Similar calcification costs were estimated for oyster larvae (Waldbusser et al., 2013) based on calculations of the energy demand of transmembrane ion transporters, thought to be important in molluscan calcification. These 1–2 J/mg costs were queried by Sanders et al. (2018) using energy budget calculations derived from scope for growth experiments of Baltic *Mytilus* spp. living at low salinity. The Sanders et al. (2018) calculations derived from experiments combining data from three different salinities, three feeding regimes and two temperatures. Their data indicated that shell production required much more energy than previously suggested. They calculated a cost of 10–55 J/mg calcium carbonate, which was 31–60% of available energy. However, they emphasized that these calculations were for low-salinity experiments and energetic costs decreased when salinity increased (17–55 J/mg for 6 psu and 10–14 J/mg for 11 and 16 psu). Therefore, estimating these costs for *Mytilus* mussels at full salinities (33 psu) could produce figures close to those of Palmer (1992), especially as there are extra costs experienced in low-salinity conditions. Solubility of calcification substrates decreases with salinity and therefore HCO$_3^-$ extraction costs are higher at lower salinities, which would increase the costs of cellular transport of calcium ions via transmembrane ion transporters (Waldbusser et al., 2013; Maar et al., 2015; Sanders et al., 2018) (see Section IV). In addition, shells of mussels in low salinities have different layer thicknesses and microstructures (increased prismatic layer) and thicker periostraca to protect against corrosive conditions (Telesca et al., 2019). Since the periostracum is proteinaceous, such thickening adds an energetic burden (Palmer, 1992).

Fig 4. Genetic structure and morphological variation in scallops (*Pecten maximus*) from Northern Ireland. (A) Map of sites produced courtesy of Laura Gerrish, Ear, Garmin, GEBCO, NOAA NGDC and other contributors. (B) Panels (a) and (b) show scatterplots of individual variation in the first two principal components (PCs) derived from principal component analysis conducted on 180 individuals genotyped at 13 microsatellites and 45 individuals genotyped at 10539 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), respectively. Inertia ellipses are reported and colour-coded differently for each population. The outlier population in panel (b) is from Mulroy Bay (site 1 in A): site of the commercial scallop farm. Panel (c) shows phenotypic shell variation among three scallop shells from locations 1, 8 and 9, respectively. Data as reported by Vendrami et al. (2017).
Although the organic matrix is only a small proportion of the shell, it is estimated that it is 22% of the cost of producing shells with 1.5% organic content, which increases to 50% with 5% organic material (Palmer, 1992). These costs confirmed previous shell regeneration experiments, in which rates of repair were inversely related to shell organic content (Palmer, 1983). Further examples of these costs include the organic content of new shell produced in Littorina obtusata when responding to predation threat, which was 21% lower compared with control animals as the priority was to produce a thicker shell to counteract the predation threat, irrespective of shell microstructure (Brookes & Rochette, 2007). Also limpet shells suffer erosion from being grazed by herbivores (often by conspecifics) feeding on their encrusting corallines and endolithic parasites (Day, Branch, & Viljoen, 2000).

Although erosion rates were greater in Cymbula granatina (previously Patella granatina) compared with Scutellastra argenvillei (previously Patella argenvillei), the latter produced more total shell mass annually. Day et al. (2000) suggested this was probably due to the higher organic content of C. granatina shells (3.38% compared with 1.75% for S. argenvillei). These observations highlight the potential long-term costs of erosion and parasite infestation that vary among species and infestation type. This has been estimated at 8–20% of total energy devoted to growth and reproduction in C. granatina and S. argenvillei, whilst infected Haliotis tuberculata produce 2–3 times thicker shells in response to parasitic infections of worms of the genus Polydora and the sponge Cliona celata (Peck, 1983).

Shell production costs also vary considerably with life-history stages. In mytilid mussels, larval skeletons have higher organic fractions (>10%) than adult shells (<5%), with higher relative calcification rates. This suggests higher costs of shell growth in early stages (Thomsen et al., 2013). In addition, Mytilus spp. larval maintenance costs in low pH reflect enhanced calcification to compensate for increased dissolution rates (Ventura, Schulz, & Dupont, 2016). It is still unclear how much biotic and abiotic factors impact the energy invested into shell growth, and how this affects relative proportions of crystals and protein. Also, little is known about the consequential energetic trade-offs with regard to somatic and gonad growth when shell production costs increase (Trussell & Smith, 2000).

(2) Trade-offs

It is recognized that calcareous shell secretion and maintenance are more costly in colder waters, due to the increased solubility of calcium carbonate, making it more energetically expensive for the animal to extract (Palmer, 1983; Clarke, 1993; Watson et al., 2012). However, a recent study demonstrated that high-latitude Mytilus spp. had thinner shells, but a higher shell organic content including production of a thicker periostracum (Telesca et al., 2019). This increase in organic material should increase energetic costs associated with shell manufacture, but presumably the mussels benefit from reduced shell corrosion. Such costs are further exemplified in the invasive mussel Xenostrobus securis when exposed to predatory dog whelks, in which there is a trade-off between shell growth and tenacity. The presence of dog whelks induces X. securis to produce more proteinaceous byssus threads to increase tenacity, to the detriment of shell growth (Babarro et al., 2016). The production of byssus threads can be very costly in some species requiring up to 44% of total carbon and 21% of total nitrogen uptake (Hawkins & Bayne, 1985). A further example is the Antarctic clam Laterula elliptica, which produces thicker shells in response to damage (most likely iceberg impact; Fig. 5). In this example, trade-offs were apparent, with the Antarctic species having higher proportions of soft tissue mass per unit shell, compared with lower latitude species despite the thicker shells. This was achieved by the Antarctic Laterulas spp. becoming more inflated in shape (Watson et al., 2012). This trade-off of body size with shell thickness is also recognized in non-Antarctic species, the classic examples being littorinids. In these gastropods reduced body mass correlates with increased shell thickness but reduced linear shell growth (Trussell & Smith, 2000; Trussell & Nicklin, 2002; Brookes & Rochette, 2007). This results in a change in shape of the shell, which imposes additional constraints, as available living space for tissues is constrained by the shell architecture: the ‘skeleton limitation’ hypothesis (Palmer, 1981). Gastropod fecundity correlates with body size, and a possible consequence of size limitation is reduced fecundity (Trussell & Smith, 2000). Furthermore, predator cues may impact gastropod feeding. In the presence of predators, littorinids are more refuge-seeking and feed less, reducing energy available for shell growth (Trussell & Nicklin, 2002; Brookes & Rochette, 2007; Bourdeau, 2010). Thus, changes in shell characteristics need to be considered both in relation to environmental factors and the consequences for future sustainability (e.g. reduced fecundity).

IV. CELLULAR CALCIUM TRANSPORT

(1) Mantle tissue and calcium turnover

The basic mechanism for shell secretion in bivalve molluscs is regarded as common to all shell-bearing Mollusca, except the chitons, which build their multi-part shells, consisting of eight separate plates and girdle of spicules, within a cuticle (Knipprath, 1980; Haas, 1981). Final shell structure involves interactions between periostracum, specialized mantle epithelial cells, crystal nucleation events and proteinaceous folds within the nanometre thick extrapallial region (Checa, 2000; Joubert et al., 2010; Nudelman, 2015). Recent advances in molecular tools for molluscs now make it possible to examine these processes in more detail, and to begin identifying specific proteins involved in calcium transport.

Mantle tissue is responsible for calcium turnover and deposition in molluscan shells (Jodrey, 1953). Furthermore, using radioactively labelled sea water $^{45}$Ca and excised mantle strips, Jodrey (1953) demonstrated that calcium from
Sea water was used for shell synthesis. Most calcium uptake for shell production in marine molluscs occurs via gill and mantle tissues, with the haemolymph used for transportation (Jodrey, 1953; Fan et al., 2007; Li et al., 2016; Sillanpää et al., 2016). A small amount of calcium is rapidly turned over and it is thought that this small fraction is used to synthesize shell (Sillanpää et al., 2016). This calcium primarily appears to be transported to the outer mantle epithelia in the ionic form, with small amounts bound to proteins and smaller ligands (Sillanpää et al., 2016; Sillanpää, Sundh, & Sundell, 2018).

There is still considerable debate around how calcium is transported throughout the animal and transferred across outer mantle epithelia into the extrapallial space (Nudelman, 2015; Ramesh et al., 2017; Sillanpää et al., 2018). Several studies have shown haemocyte involvement in mineralization processes in Crassostrea spp., Pinicola eucalyptus and Haliotis tuberculata (Mount et al., 2004; Li et al., 2016; Ivanina et al., 2018). However, haemocyte contribution may be species specific. In Crassostrea spp., for example haemocytes are more involved in immune processes in C. gigas than in C. virginica where ion regulation and calcium transport appeared more prominent (Ivanina et al., 2018).

In epithelia, calcium ions might be transferred passively between cells through paracellular pathways (including septate junctions between the epithelial cells) and/or actively via transcellular routes (Sillanpää et al., 2018). The latter mechanisms include calcium bound to specialized transport proteins, potentially involving haemocytes and intracellular vesicles, which may or may not contain amorphous calcium carbonate (Nair & Robinson, 1998; Addadi et al., 2006; Li et al., 2016; Ramesh et al., 2017). Furthermore, contact between mantle cells and the growing edge might play a significant role in crystal nucleation, more so than the extrapallial fluid (Roux et al., 2009; Marie et al., 2012). There may also be differences in shell formation between larvae and adults.
adults. No persistent amorphous calcium carbonate (ACC) phase was found in larval shell formation in six marine species (Kudo et al., 2010; Yokoo et al., 2011; Ramesh et al., 2017, 2018), but roles for ACC in adult shell production are still debated. Much of this research relied on histochemical and ultra-high-powered microscopy techniques (Nudelman, 2015), but physiological studies can also provide important molecular evidence for functionality of the outer mantle epithelium, and intracellular calcium transport processes.

(2) Identification of ion channels involved in calcium transport across membranes

Using chamber methods (Ussing & Zerahn, 1951) developed to measure electrochemical properties of membranes and epithelia are now providing detailed insights into cellular calcium transport in molluscs. Electrophysiology of mantle epithelia in Ussing chambers has demonstrated both their calcium permeability, and their polarity (Cointebra et al., 1988). Radioactive calcium ion (45Ca2+) experiments on C. gigas outer mantle epithelium allowed studies of both calcium transport kinetics and the identification of potential membrane proteins involved in that process. Passive transport across septate junctions occurs at rates expected from leaky epithelia, but the majority of transport occurs transeptally. 45Ca ion transfer kinetics across outer mantle epithelia indicate that 60% of calcium mobilization occurs via transepithelial transport and at least two active transporters are involved, the other 40% occurs via paracellular routes, (Sillanpää et al., 2018)

Selective use of 45Ca2+ ions across C. gigas outer mantle epithelia in tandem with pharmacological inhibitors (Verapamil) indicates that calcium can enter outer mantle epithelia through L-type and T-type voltage-gated calcium channels located in the basolateral membrane (inner mantle and haemolymph side) (Sillanpää et al., 2018). Similar inhibitory effects of Verapamil on calcium channels are present in other bivalve species (Roesijadi & Unger, 1993; Wang & Fisher, 1999). However, other membrane proteins also are involved in this process as Verapamil only reduced calcium transport by 20% via this route. In addition, due to Verapamil’s general action, the particular genes involved could not be definitively identified. Calcium ion secretion from cells into extrapallial spaces across the apical membrane is mainly via calcium ATPases and Na+/Ca2+ exchangers, as demonstrated by caloxin and ouabain experiments (Sillanpää et al., 2018). The primary active membrane protein here was a plasma membrane calcium ATPase (PMCA), accounting for >50% of active calcium transport across epithelia, with indirect evidence for a secondarily active Na+/Ca2+ exchanger (NCX) involved in this process (Sillanpää et al., 2018). These experiments led to the development of a Ca2+ transfer model across outer mantle epithelia in C. gigas (Fig. 6). The activity of calcium ATPase proteins is energetically expensive in cells (McConnaughey & Whelan, 1997). Given the major contribution of calcium ATPases to calcium intracellular transport, the increased activity of these proteins under low-salinity environments is expected to contribute significantly to calcification costs in Baltic Sea blue mussels, limiting their distribution in this region (Sanders et al., 2010; Thomsen et al., 2018). Transcriptomic studies from carbonate manipulation experiments are providing a more complete list of the genes involved in cellular ion transport including Na+/K+ ATPases (NKAs) (De Wit et al., 2018; Ramesh et al., 2019). These new sequence data will facilitate the design and testing of tailor-made pharmacological inhibitors, the development of gene knockouts and potentially enable more accurate calculations to be made of the energetic costs associated with calcium transport.

V. MOLLUSC GENES AND PROTEINS ASSOCIATED WITH SHELL PRODUCTION

Early work on understanding the molecular structure of shells in the 1950s involved dissolving shells and separating proteins by chromatography, but it was not until 1996 that the first shell protein (nacrein-a) was finally characterized (Miyamoto et al., 1996). For the next decade molecular data were limited and research largely focused on cloning and characterization of individual genes and proteins. This changed dramatically with the development of molluscan expressed sequence tag (EST) libraries (e.g. Jackson et al., 2006) and subsequently, from pyrosequencing, the first next generation sequencing (NGS) technology.

(1) Gene transcripts and proteins

In 2010, the first pyrosequencing results were published for molluscs (Clark et al., 2010; Craft et al., 2010). The latter study was the first specifically to describe the application of NGS to investigate molluscan calcification processes, using comparative genomics approaches on a mantle transcriptome. Transcriptomes catalogue expressed sequences and can be applied from single cells to whole organisms. In molluscs, research has largely concentrated on sequencing mantle tissue, because it secretes the proteins necessary for shell construction. To enhance discovery of biomineralization genes, mantle transcriptomes can be generated from shell damage-repair experiments (Clark et al., 2013; Sleight et al., 2015; Hüning et al., 2016; Yarra, 2018). Responses vary with the site of damage compared to the tissue sampling site (Sleight et al., 2015) and also whether mantle edge or inner mantle regions were targeted (Yarra, 2018). This approach, along with developmental studies on larvae raised in low-calcification-substrate conditions (e.g. De Wit et al., 2018; Ramesh et al., 2019) is significantly increasing knowledge of putative biomineralization genes. These approaches are particularly powerful when combined with analyses of expression modules and gene networks, such as correlation weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) and programs such as the algorithm for the reconstruction of accurate cellular networks (ARACNe) (e.g. De
These *in silico* techniques visualize gene interactions and provide major progress towards defining biochemical pathways in non-model species. They are particularly useful for identifying upstream control genes and incorporation of species-specific transcripts that have little or no annotation, into biochemical pathways (De Wit et al., 2018; Yarra, 2018; Sleight et al., 2020; Ramsøe, Clark, & Sleight, 2020).

(3) The evolution of shell production in molluscs

Comparison of these comprehensive gene data sets across bivalve and gastropod molluscs has revealed huge diversity in the mechanisms of shell production between even closely related species (Kocot et al., 2016). This diversity became apparent in an early large-scale mollusc EST study in *Haliotis asinina*, where almost 85% of the secreted proteins were encoded by novel genes (Jackson et al., 2006). Even transcriptomes from cells performing identical functions in different species (nacre-forming cells in the bivalve *Pinctada maxima* and the gastropod *H. asinina*) varied greatly in expressed gene sets. After removing housekeeping sequences, only 10% of genes from shell secretory cells were shared between the species (Jackson et al., 2010). These large differences support the hypothesis of rapid and convergent evolution of nacre gene pathways in diverse molluscan lineages (Jackson et al., 2010).

Rapid evolution of biomineralization pathways in molluscs was validated by the identification of species-specific large expansions in some gene families. Examples include tyrosinase, shematrin, chitinase-like protein, repetitive low complexity domain proteins (RLCDs), lysine (K)-rich mantle proteins.
(KRMPs), carbonic anhydrase and calcitonin-like G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs), all of which play roles in biomineralization (McDougall, Aguilera, & Degnan, 2013; Aguilera, Mc Dougall, & Degnan, 2014; Le Roy et al., 2014; Takeuchi et al., 2016; Cardoso et al., 2019). It is unclear why these gene families evolved in certain species and what functions the individual duplicated genes perform. Furthermore, the genes involved in shell production also comprise lineage-restricted proteins and unique combinations of co-opted ancient genes. In some cases, these genes result from domain shuffling, with expansion and loss of particular domains in genes such as RLCDs (Kocot et al., 2016; Aguilera, Mc Dougall, & Degnan, 2017). With such complexity, the question is whether conserved sets of genes or proteins involved in shell secretion exist in molluscs, that is a biomineralization toolbox.

(4) A conserved biomineralization tool box

In spite of the rapid evolution of many biomineralization genes, some genes, including carbonic anhydrase and RLCDs have long evolutionary histories within calcifying metazoans. Many of these ‘ancient’ genes have multiple functions for example carbonic anhydrase is important for acid–base physiology alongside biomineralization (Le Roy et al., 2014; Murdock, 2020). Furthermore, recent proteomic studies on dissected shell microstructures in a single species showed that whilst there were different shell matrix proteins in each layer, common proteins were present throughout the shell (Marie et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2015).

Identification of a conserved biomineralization tool box within the Mollusca is impeded due to the lack of comprehensive data sets across species and most data originating from transcriptomes, where a gene is only represented if it is expressed. In addition, transcriptome and proteome content depend highly on the methods used to generate them (Arivalagan Immanuel, 2017). Two recent studies addressed some of these methodology issues by generating shell proteomes and mantle transcriptomes from the same individuals in species with differing mineralogies (Arivalagan et al., 2017; Yarra, 2018) (Fig. 7). These investigations revealed several domains categorized as an evolutionarily conserved toolbox for shell biomineralization [tyrosinase, carbonic anhydrase (CA), chitin-binding (CB) and von Willebrand Factor A (VWA)]. Other domains were mineralogy specific [calcite: epidermal growth factor (EGF), fibronectin (FN3) and whey acidic protein (WAP); aragonite: laminin (Lam-G)] (Arivalagan et al., 2017; Yarra, 2018) (Fig. 7). These data were substantiated by analyses comparing larval and adult biomineralization genes, which revealed substantial differences between life-history stages, albeit with conservation of CA, CB and VWA domains (Zhao et al., 2018).

Transcriptome and proteome data sets are highly complementary. Transcriptomes have proven more effective than proteomes at identifying transcripts containing intrinsically disordered domains, including those for RLCD proteins, but proteomes proved that immune-related proteins were incorporated into shell materials. Previously their presence in transcriptomes was assumed to be an immune response or contamination from haemocytes (Arivalagan et al., 2017; Yarra, 2018). Transcriptome studies also highlighted signalling molecules and especially transmembrane transporters, as important (Yarra, 2018; Ramesh et al., 2019), some of which have been independently validated using physiological studies (Sillanpää et al., 2018).

(5) Further levels of complexity: alternative splicing, isoforms and development

Beyond the tremendous complexity already revealed in molluscan biomineralization genes described above, there are

Fig 7. Venn diagram showing conserved protein domains in four bivalve species with different shell microstructures. Data from Arivalagan et al. (2017) and Yarra (2018) with annotation from Yarra (2018) (https://doi.org/10/cz2w). EGF, epidermal growth factor; FN3, fibronectin 3; Lam-G, laminin G; VWA, Von Willebrand factor A; WAP, whey acidic protein.
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large variants of mantle transcriptome datasets (Timmermans, 1968). However, the generation of EST libraries and NGS data revealed the true extent of mantle gene expression modularity (e.g., Jackson et al., 2006; Jackson, Worheide, & Degnan, 2007; Gardner et al., 2011; Sleight et al., 2016). The most recent example was in Lymnaea stagnalis, in which 54 gene transcripts were localized by in situ hybridization across different developmental stages (trophophore larvae and juveniles) and in adult tissue. These data revealed six distinct expression zones in adult mantle and developmental stage-specific expression (Herlitze et al., 2018). It is likely that even greater complexity and partitioning of mantle function will be revealed as more high-throughput in situ hybridization studies are conducted in different species in the future. Such studies will play essential roles in assigning putative biominalization functions to genes with no associated annotation, only mantle-specific expression (e.g., Sleight et al., 2016).

Localization studies carried out at the proteomic level have also shown distinct partitioning of proteins between prismatic and nacre layers using mass spectrometry (Marie et al., 2012). Use of antibodies raised to specific shell proteins has demonstrated that extracellular matrix proteins are associated with different crystal structures (calcite prisms or nacre) in Pinctada (Kong et al., 2009; Fang et al., 2012; Marie et al., 2012). Some proteins (e.g., pearlin) have been localized to the interlamellar matrix of nacre aragonite tablets (Montagnani et al., 2011), whilst others (e.g., caspartin) are present in continuous films at interfaces between prisms and surrounding insoluble sheets (Marin et al., 2005).

VI. BIOMINERALIZATION GENES AND PROTEINS: FUNCTIONALITY

Most knowledge concerning the proteins essential for shell production has come from proteomic studies, where whole shells or specific shell layers have been powdered and proteins extracted (Joubert et al., 2010; Marie et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2015; Arivalagan et al., 2017). Any protein present within the shell probably has some role in producing the relevant microstructures. Indeed functional assays (Section VI.2) have demonstrated direct roles for many proteins in the calcification process. By contrast, transcriptomic data, largely obtained from mantle tissue, have demonstrated a particular gene’s involvement through elevated expression levels using transcript counts or reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) (Miyazaki et al., 2010; Fang et al., 2012; Sleight et al., 2015). The mantle secretes the shell, but it has many other functions; some proteins might be involved in CaCO3 transport rather than in the shell structure itself. Thus, functional studies are key to deciphering the true role of these candidate biominalization genes. Several techniques are available to provide greater functional characterization and evidence for participation in biominalization pathways.

(1) Cellular localization of gene expression

The mantle is a complex tissue (Harper, 1997) (Fig. 1). Whilst shell damage-repair experiments often take tissue for RNA extraction across all the mantle folds (e.g., Sleight et al., 2015; Yarra, 2018), some have sampled with greater precision and shown discrete expression patterns, which were validated by in situ localization mapping (Gardner et al., 2011). At the cellular level, similar investigations started in 1997, when the protein MSI30 was extracted from the nacreous layer of P. imbricata fucata and localized to the outer mantle epithelia (Sudo et al., 1997). This was probably the first demonstration of mantle modularity using gene expression, although previous studies had identified regional differences in histochemical properties of mantle tissue (Timmermans, 1968). However, the generation of EST libraries and NGS data revealed the true extent of mantle gene expression modularity (e.g., Jackson et al., 2006; Jackson, Worheide, & Degnan, 2007; Gardner et al., 2011; Sleight et al., 2016; Herlitze et al., 2018).
The composition and location of extracellular matrices are being refined beyond the mass extraction of proteins from shells. This provides greater insight into crystal–protein matrix associations, and raises questions about how molluscs selectively secrete proteins to distinct microstructures. This clearly relates to the mantle zonation described above and the models discussed in Section VII.

(2) Protein activity associated with biomineralization

Despite many attempts over decades, only one immortalized molluscan cell line exists, from embryos of the snail Biomphalaria glabrata, but short-term cultures are still useful (Yoshino, Bickham, & Bayne, 2013). Calcium carbonate microcrystals have been produced during cell culture derived from mantle tissue of marine and freshwater pearl oysters (Samata et al., 1994; Barik, Jena, & Ram, 2004; Gong et al., 2008). Furthermore, during in vitro primary cell line culture proteoglycans and collagen have been synthesized in Halisids tuberculata and nacrein protein in P. imbricata fucata (Poncet et al., 2000; Gong et al., 2008). Nacre is well known for inducing mineralization in human and mammalian bone-forming cells (Atlan et al., 1997), but the osteoinductive compounds in nacre remain unidentified. The water-soluble matrix from nacre induced mineralization in mouse pre-osteoblast cell lines (Rousseau et al., 2003, 2008). However, current evaluations centre on ethanol-soluble matrix fractions, which have demonstrated greater osteogenic activity, with mineralization observed in human osteoarthritis osteoblast and mouse preosteoblast cells after adding ethanol-soluble fractions from oysters (Zheng et al., 2016). In a different functional assay, the chitinase-specific pharmacological inhibitor Allo-samidin demonstrated a potentially important role for chitinase in shell production and shell remodelling. Larvae cultured with this inhibitor produced thinner shells and applying a chitinase solution to adult shells resulted in shell dissolution (Yonezawa et al., 2016).

In a few examples, isolated shell proteins have induced calcification activity in cell cultures. For example, when a shell protein isolated from P. imbricata fucata, p10, was added to mammalian mineralogenic cell lines, alkaline phosphatase (an early biomarker of osteoblast differentiation) activity increased. Thus, p10 has a potential biomineralizing function (Zhang et al., 2006). Mollusc genes can be inserted into expression vectors and transfected into human cell lines, enabling them to be assessed with heterologous ligands to test for functional similarity in molluscs and vertebrates. For example, the ligands, calcitonin (CALC) and parathyroid hormone-related protein (PTHrP), in vertebrates regulate calcium uptake and resorption, and osteoblast and osteoclast function. Recently, homologues of the vertebrate calcitonin system have been demonstrated in molluscs, with both multiple ligands and receptors (CALCRs) identified in M. galloprovincialis and C. gigas (Fig. 8). Relatively few mollusc CALCRs have been studied, but in both M. galloprovincialis and C. gigas these receptors respond to reduced salinity (Schwartz et al., 2019; Cardoso et al., 2020). Demonstrating that a specific CALCR with calcitonin mediates local regulation of Ca\(^{2+}\) transport in the mantle in M. galloprovincialis suggests that molluscs have a regulatory system triggered from sensory inputs that likely modulate biomineralization (Cardoso et al., 2020). Using a different approach, a luciferase reporter assay for the putative biomineralization transcription factor Pf-Sp8/9 demonstrated interactions between different shell proteins (Zheng et al., 2016). Increasing concentrations of this transcription factor were added to human embryonic kidney cell lines containing constructs for pearlin, prisilkin-39 and KRMP promoters (known biomineralization genes). Activity of all three genes increased

![Fig 8. The bivalve calcitonin-like G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) system. (A) Gene numbers of calcitonin-like receptors (CALCRs) and mature calcitonin-like peptide (CALC) in human, Pacific oyster (Crassostrea gigas) and blue mussel (Mytilus galloprovincialis). (B) Predicted structure of mussel CALCRs and activated intracellular signalling pathways in the presence of vertebrate (human and salmon) calcitonins and mussel calcitonin-like peptide. (C) Relative abundance (fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads; fpkm) of putative CALCR transcripts in the mussel posterior mantle edge transcriptome. Bars show mean data ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Data from Cardoso et al. (2020).](image)
linearly, indicating their control by PfSp8/9 (Zheng et al., 2016). Thus, either homologous or heterologous in vitro assays using cell culture systems are providing potentially important functional information on shell proteins.

An additional approach examining in vitro crystallization does not use cell cultures, but aims to identify which proteins interact with CaCO₃ to form crystals and specific crystal microstructures. In some of the above studies, calcium precipitation assays used in tandem with cell culture experiments demonstrated the calcium binding properties of isolated proteins. For example, caspartin isolated from the *Pincta nobilis* prismatic layer strongly interacted in vitro with growing calcite crystals (Marin et al., 2005). Its activity suggested that it was probably a calcium carbonate nucleator, but also that it constrained crystal growth and determined crystallographic orientation in the prism layer (Marin et al., 2005). Prismlatin-14 also exhibited calcium-binding properties, inhibiting calcium carbonate activity (Kong et al., 2009; Suzuki et al., 2009). Both p10 and a *Pinctada fucata* novel basic protein (PEN23) accelerated calcium carbonate nucleation in vitro and induced aragonite formation (Zhang et al., 2006; Fang et al., 2011). These in vitro studies usefully demonstrate calcium binding and uncover potential interactions between proteins and CaCO₃ precipitation and/or crystallization. This is especially useful for proteins with little sequence similarity to known proteins and with disordered structures rather than precise three-dimensional shapes (Amos & Evans, 2009). However, these in vitro crystallization reactions are outside the complex intracellular milieu and such experiments are predominantly employed by materials scientists aiming to engineer novel biomaterials and crystal surfaces with unique properties (e.g. Amos & Evans, 2009; Chang & Evans, 2015).

(3) Disruption of biomineralization to identify gene function

Gene knockout (or knock down) or gene editing techniques are very useful for demonstrating gene functions and interactions. To date, although there have been several successful examples in molluscs, the use of such technologies is not routine in this phylum. The earliest experiments concentrated on *P. imbricata fucata* and generally used double-stranded RNA interference (ds RNAi) to knock down target genes. The first such experiment partially knocked down PfIF (aragonite-binding protein) messenger RNA (mRNA) and resulted in disordered growth of nacre crystals (Suzuki et al., 2009). A subsequent experiment involving knockout of the *Pinctada fucata* homeobox containing transcription factor msx gene (PIMSSX) also resulted in disordered nacre crystal growth (Zhao et al., 2014). Furthermore, splice variants of the glycoprotein precursor (granulin/epithelin precursor) interact with the msx PIMSS to disrupt nacre formation, and the bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) pathway, which is required to maintain normal vertebrate bone homeostasis, is involved in nacre formation (Zhao et al., 2016a, 2016b). These studies therefore, established wider gene signalling and network connectivity involved in nacre formation in *P. imbricata fucata*. RNAi experiments also validated some unannotated gene effects on nacre formation (Fang et al., 2011; Funabara et al., 2018), expanding knowledge of biomineralization beyond ‘the usual suspects’, for example Pf, nacrein, p10. In a similar approach, using antibodies to disrupt the function of prislkin-39 in *P. imbricata fucata*, led to dramatic morphological deformation of the inner shell layer (Kong et al., 2009). Clustered regularly interspersed short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)-associated protein 9 (CRISPR/Cas9) was first used in *Crepidula fornicata* in 2014, demonstrating the knock-in of β-catenin in embryos (Perry & Henry, 2015), but its first use for knockout in molluscs was in 2019. This pioneering study in *Lymnaea stagnalis* reported that the Lsid1 gene set shell chirality from the one-cell stage in (Abe & Kuroda, 2019). This research demonstrated the utility of gene-editing technologies and how genes play key roles in three-dimensional shell structures. Given the popularity of CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing in non-mollusc species, this first use will very likely inspire more shell biomineralization functional studies.

VII. PRODUCING THE THREE-DIMENSIONAL STRUCTURE OF THE SHELL

Much evidence indicates that shell synthesis results from both biologically controlled (genetic and cellular activity, with proteins determining mineral phases, crystal shape and nucleation) and physical mechanisms (crystal competition, growth in confined spaces and self-organization) with interactions between the two (Checa, 2018). The balance between these mechanisms varies with microstructure, resulting in the wide variety of shell shapes and designs observed (Checa, 2018). From the biological side, shell protein content is important in crystal formation as are developmental pathways, such as that determined by the Lsid1 gene, which dictates dextral/sinistral coiling in snails (Abe & Kuroda, 2019). The modularity of mantle gene expression can also explain how such complexity in shell design could be developed (Herlitze et al., 2018).

Understanding of such biological problems can be aided by theoretical studies embedded in mathematics and materials science (Gerber, 2017). In one mechanical model, shell growth was described as a function of local geometry and the mechanics of the aperture and mantle. Shell ornamentation, such as ribs on ammonite shells, could be explained from stresses and strains on the flexible mantle tissue during growth on the rigid shell aperture template (Moulton, Goriely, & Chirat, 2015). This was similar to a model using differential growth patterns, where varying proliferation rates and changing the mantle edge–cell division axis bias contributed to the production of different shell morphologies (Johnson, Fogel, & Lambert, 2019). Another mechanical model explained spine morphogenesis by the mechanical interaction of the secreting mantle edge and the calcified shell edge, which the mantle adheres to during shell growth (Chirat,
Moulton, & Goriely, 2013). This latter model accounted for the large diversity in spine structure by relatively small variations in the control parameters of the process (Chirat et al., 2013). Thus, modelling mechanical processes can potentially explain shell morphology variation, although the underlying molecular mechanisms producing such forces remain elusive.

Other proposed models, including activator–effector and neural net models, are based on mathematical models developed by Turing (1952). The activator–effector model uses shell-surface pigment patterns to code for shell design (Fowler, Meinhardt, & Prusinkiewicz, 1992) and was recently used to investigate pigmentation in *Haliothis asinina* (Budd et al., 2014). In this species, shell pigmentation occurs via prismatic layer secretory tubules likely underpinned by coordination of signals to individual cells. These are transmitted through the entire tubule, synchronizing pigment production and secretion within the shell (Budd et al., 2014). Neural net models assume that neural-stimulated secretion controls cell growth, but neural nets can also encode information required for shell growth and pigmentation (Boettiger, Ermentrout, & Oster, 2009; Gong et al., 2012). In these models, sensory cells read the pigmentation history and send this to a neural net, which uses this information to predict the next pigmentation increment required and instruct secretory cells accordingly, with direct feedback from output to input (Boettiger et al., 2009; Gong et al., 2012). These intra- and intercellular messages may include bioelectrical signalling, for which there is increasing evidence for an important role in cell-to-cell communication (Adams & Levin, 2013).

Another model provides potential links to materials science to explain crystal microstructure self-assembly. Zlotnikov & Schoeppler (2017) suggested that shell microstructures are formed via biologically controlled extracellular biomineralization, whereby cells create specific biochemical and physical settings that subsequently regulate mineral self-assembly without directly involving cells. Spontaneous chemical interaction then occurs between CaCO₃, the organic precursors and physical properties within the extracellular pallial space. This mechanism is similar to mineral deposition processes from colloidal chemistry (Zlotnikov & Schoeppler, 2017). Whether any of these models operate *in vivo* is not resolved and will be difficult to demonstrate, however, they provide theoretical frameworks to describe shell structure and a basis for further experimental design.

VIII. FUTURE PROSPECTS

(1) Molluscs in a changing world

Many factors are important in dictating species success or failure when environments change. These include generation times, population sizes, ability to adapt including genetics and phenotypic plasticity, number of propagules produced, dispersal capability, rate of environmental change and predator–prey interactions, amongst others (Peck, 2011; Urban et al., 2016). In few molluscs are all, or even many, of these factors known. Many laboratory manipulation experiments suggest poor prospects for mollusc biodiversity, but more recent studies involving natural evaluations, longer-term experiments and multiple generations are producing more positive results. Molluscs may be more robust to changing environments than previously anticipated. Indeed molluscs fared comparatively well in previous extinction events compared with other phyla (Ros & Echevarría, 2011).

There is considerable genetic variability within many mollusc populations, which provides the material for natural selection and rapid adaptation and many traits have plastic characteristics (Section II.3). Certainly molluscs can thrive in some extreme situations, including at 20–30% undersaturation of calcium carbonate in the deep sea (Allen, 1978), around hydrothermal vents (Jollivet, 1996) and in shallower water CO₂ vents, such as at Ischia in the Tyrrhenian Sea (Langer et al., 2014). There is also increasing recognition in a range of taxa including molluscs, that larvae can survive even fairly severe experimental conditions (albeit in depleted numbers) which provides the potential for the next generation (Ventura et al., 2016; Thomsen et al., 2017). These larvae, on maturation are potentially more resilient than their parents to the altered conditions, due to transgenerational plasticity (Ross, Parker, & Byrne, 2016). Parental genotypes are also critical, as very different outcomes for larval performance are produced depending on parental source, supporting the premise that resilient lines can be bred for aquaculture (e.g. Goncalves et al., 2016). Laboratory experiments provide valuable evidence about mechanisms underlying responses to altered conditions, but results need context from evaluations in the natural environment, as their complexity is far greater than in experimental systems. Time series analyses of museum collections can provide valuable insights into responses to changing environments over historical timescales (relevant for the Anthropocene).

Evaluations of *Mytilus* shells from the Belgian coast have demonstrated increasing shell thickness over the past 112 years, whereas thinner shells would be predicted from experimental data (L. Telesca, L.S. Peck, T. Backeljau, M. Henig & E.M. Harper, in preparation). In this historical study, shell microstructure also varied with predator pressure from crabs, seagulls and the dog whale *Nucella lapillus*. When the latter disappeared from local habitats in 1981, mussel shells developed with 13% less organic matrix and a 29% thinner periostracum (L. Telesca, L.S. Peck, T. Backeljau, M. Henig & E.M. Harper, in preparation). This exemplifies the complexity of mollusc shell responses to changing conditions and the need to understand biotic interactions, and responses to local conditions, including both triggers and compensatory mechanisms. This unexpected response to historical changes is supported by another historical study in a heavily calcified organism, the brachiopod *Calloria inconspicua*.


Calloria inconspicua collected over the past 120 years showed no shell dissolution, but had a 3.4% increase in shell density, despite sea surface temperature increasing by 0.6°C (1953–2016) and pCO₂ increasing by 33.7 µatm (1 standard atm = 101 325 Pascal) (1985–2016) (Gross et al., 2018). Making accurate predictions of future mollusc biodiversity is difficult because the resilience of the mollusc itself is not the only factor to consider, as species responses are variable and each species exists within a complex ecosystem. There are indirect effects of changing conditions on feeding behaviour and food supplies (Sanders et al., 2013; Mackenzie et al., 2014), behaviour (Gunderson, Armstrong, & Stillman, 2016) and predator–prey dynamics (Beaty et al., 2016; Donelan & Trussell, 2019). There are also altered disease risks and host–parasite interactions (Marcogliese, 2008).

Most of these factors also apply to aquaculture and predicting how aquaculture should, and can, develop globally is complex. Across the globe, molluscan aquaculture will likely suffer from environmental change impacts, the drivers of which will differ among nations (Fig. 9) (Stewart-Sinclair et al., 2020). Aquaculture practices will need to evolve as conditions alter. Environmental change, for example temperature and pH, will not only have direct effects (Allison, Badjeck, & Meinhold, 2011; Frost et al., 2012), but there will be indirect effects of emerging diseases, invasive species, etc. (Burge et al., 2014). Monitoring environments over large spatial and temporal scales will be key for aquaculture practices to be modified accordingly (Allison et al., 2011; Callaway et al., 2012). This has already been particularly successful in the Pacific north–west shellfish industry. Mitigation measures including buffering sea water in header tanks and spawning stocks at key times has been very effective in a region that has been badly affected by periodic, and unpredictable, corrosive upwellings (Barton et al., 2015). Furthermore, changing conditions may provide new opportunities for culturing warmer water aquaculture species in traditionally colder areas, such as around the UK and Ireland (Callaway et al., 2012). Warmer waters can improve scallop recruitment and would expand the current range for culturing C. gigas oysters and potentially open up new areas for Haliotis abalone culture (Allison et al., 2011; Callaway et al., 2012; Goulden, 2018). Given current data, regional assessments that account for local conditions will likely be important for planning new aquaculture facilities (Michalek, 2019). Global assessments are more likely to indicate new aquaculture opportunities developing as species' ranges change (Shumway, 2011; Gjedrem, Robinson, & Rye, 2012).

(2) Mollusc shells for future innovation

Irrespective of future food security concerns, mollusc shells are increasingly recognized as valuable in themselves, as models for biomimetics or exploited in applied projects. Molluscs constitute one of the most diverse and widespread phyla that use calcium carbonate when manufacturing their

Fig 9. Overall predicted vulnerability to climate change for shellfish mariculture in coastal nations 2090–2100 using a vulnerability assessment model. Vulnerability (V) was measured as nation-specific mean for Exposure (E), Sensitivity (S), and Adaptive capacity (AC) sub-layers under the IPCC RCP8.5 ‘business as usual’ scenario. These sub-layers comprised the following factors: exposure (E) was based on changes in sea surface temperature, depth of aragonite saturation horizon, primary production and occurrence of extreme weather events; sensitivity (S) evaluated species habitat range, production value as a normalized percentage of national gross domestic product, and nutritional dependence based on fish protein as a percentage of animal protein in the diet of each nation; adaptive capacity (AC) applied the Shannon index to industry diversity and governance using the World Bank world-wide governance indicator. The high sensitivity of developing nations was based largely on the narrow habitat tolerance of the cultivated species, whilst that of developing countries was due to the relatively high economic value of shellfish production with limited adaptive capacity due to the small number of species cultivated. Colours represent overall V score (1 = very low, 2 = low, 3 = moderate, 4 = high, 5 = very high), while white indicates absence of data. Adapted from Stewart-Sinclair et al. (2020).
Molluscs such as mussels and limpets are well-studied from a biomimetic perspective due to their durable and biologically diverse shells, which have inspired various applications, including in construction and medical research. The development of model mollusc species is required to exploit the latest biophysical and biological techniques, more often used in medical research. Given molluscan diversity levels, a single species is unlikely to suffice and questions and requirements will dictate the mollusc models needed.

X. CONCLUSIONS

(1) Bivalves and gastropods demonstrate considerable inter- and intraspecific shell morphological variation, both inherent, and in response to environmental conditions.

(2) Variation in intraspecific shell characteristics can result from genetic adaptation with selection acting on standing population genetic variation and/or phenotypic plasticity. In some instances, adaptation can be rapid, taking place within a few generations.

(3) Epigenetics may modify phenotypic traits across generations.

(4) Shell characteristics and animal physiology are strongly defined by adaptation to local conditions, particularly temperature and food supply. Salinity exerts a stronger influence across latitudes, particularly on shell organic content and microstructures.

(5) Energetic trade-offs can occur when accommodating intraspecific variations in shell composition to different environmental conditions. Abiotic and biotic factors trigger regulatory processes that impact ongoing processes such as somatic growth, energy use and shell growth.

(6) Transport of CaCO₃ within cells is mediated by epithelial processes, which often involves ion channels. However, considerable debate continues as to how calcium is transported in cells.

(7) Current knowledge of biomineralization molecular pathways is largely restricted to the final proteins; there is much less information on upstream control sequences and gene networks associated with biomineralization and protein–protein interactions.

(8) At least some genes involved in biomineralization pathways have evolved rapidly. These comprise a mix of lineage-restricted proteins and unique combinations of co-opted ancient genes, which have gained additional functions through the acquisition of new domains.

(9) Alternative splice forms of genes provide added layers of complexity to biomineralization pathways. Alternative splice forms of the same genes associated with larvae and adults of the same species can differ dramatically.

IX. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Future research will require interdisciplinary teams with broad knowledge bases fully to understand the complexities of shell design and production from the molecular level to physical shell performance. Greater genome sequencing will be required to enable the wider identification of the gene networks that control biomineralization. There is also the need to understand the role that unannotated genes play in this process, as many are often ignored in current studies but may be critical members of biomineralization pathways and fundamental drivers of skeletal structural diversity. Genomes also underpin the development of advanced molecular tools, for example in gene editing and probes for high-resolution microscopy. The latter requires resources including cell lines, which are virtually absent for molluscs, yet they are vital for gene manipulation studies and for single molecule particle tracking in live cells, which will enable comprehensive evaluations of calcium transport through cells. The development of model mollusc species is required to exploit the latest biophysical and biological techniques, more often used in medical research. Given molluscan diversity levels, a single species is unlikely to suffice and questions and requirements will dictate the mollusc models needed.
Recent gene editing using CRISPR/Cas9 in molluscs provides an important tool for advancing understanding of gene function and gene interactions.

Despite mathematical model developments and the demonstration of transcript compartmentalization in mantle tissues, the complex variety of three-dimensional shell morphologies is largely unexplained to date.

Prediction of future environmental change impacts on aquaculture is complex and needs to include indirect effects (parasites, emerging diseases, invasive species, etc.) alongside direct effects (temperature, ocean acidification, salinity, etc.) along with species interactions and assemblage/ecosystem-level effects.

Historical studies indicate a greater resilience to chronic incremental climate change than has been predicted using short-term experimental evaluations.

Regional assessments, accounting for local habitat conditions, will be more accurate for planning new aquaculture facilities, whilst global assessments will better indicate where new aquaculture opportunities may emerge as species’ ranges alter under climate change.

Selection experiments indicate that sufficient standing genetic variation exists in molluscs to enable breeding of lines more resilient to future conditions.

Exploitation via biomimetics of shell microstructures and properties in materials science and recycling shell waste for a circular economy represent important areas where mollusc research could potentially realize societal gains.
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